

1 BILL NO. S-88-01 - 22

2 SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. S-18-88

3 AN ORDINANCE approving Change
4 Order No. 1 for Res. 6076-87,
5 Sidewalk Improvement, Eastbrook
6 (Brook to Grove), with
7 Rieth-Riley Construction
8 Company in connection with
the Board of Public Works
and Safety of the City of
Fort Wayne, Indiana.

9 NOW, THEREFORE BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL
10 OF THE CITY OF FORT WAYNE, INDIANA:

11 SECTION. 1. That Change Order No. 1 for Res.
12 6076-87, Sidewalk Improvement, Eastbrook (Brook to Grove),
13 with Rieth-Riley Construction Company, in connection with
14 the Board of Public Works and Safety, for:

15 this Change Order is necessary
16 because of the following:
17 much of the 4" concrete
18 sidewalk and removal was
19 saved in the field. It
originally was added to
quantities due to possible
elevation. These were worked
out in the field, therefore
reflecting a savings to the
City;

20 involving a net decrease of Two Thousand, Eight Hundred
21 Fifty-Eight and 12/100 Dollars (\$2,858.12), all as more
22 particularly set forth in the specifications, and which
23 is on file with the Office of the Board of Public Works
24 and Safety and is by reference incorporated herein, made
25 a part hereof, and is hereby in all things ratified,
26 confirmed and approved.

27 SECTION 2. That this Ordinance shall be in full
28 force and effect from and after its passage and any and
29 all necessary approval by the Mayor.
30
31
32

Samuel Talarico
Councilmember

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND LEGALITY

J. Timothy McCaulay
J. Timothy McCaulay, City Attorney

77-217-32

11/13/88

CHANGE ORDER

Change Order No. 1
 Project No. _____
 Name of Project Eastbrook (Brook to Grove)

Resolution No. 6076-87
 Board Order No. 052-87
 Date 12/16/87

To: RIETH-RILEY CONSTRUCTION CO.
 (Contractor)

Gentlemen:

You are hereby requested to comply with the following changes from the contract plans and specifications:

Item No.	Quantity	Description of Change	Unit Price	Change in Contract Price	
		Material		Decrease	Increase
		SEE ATTACHED SHEET			
Total Decrease				3,116.89	
Total Increase					258.77
Net (Decrease) (Increase) Contract Price				39,046.28	

The sum of \$ 2,858.12 is hereby ~~(added to)~~ (deducted from) the total contract price, and the final contract price shall be adjusted as follows:

Original Contract Price	\$ 41,904.40
Current Contract Price Adjusted by Previous Change Order	\$ 2,858.12
New Contract Price Including this Change Order	\$ 39,046.28

The time provided for completion in the contract for this project is (unchanged) (increased) ~~(decreased)~~ by 0 calendar days due to this Change Order. The date for completion of all work shall be -----. Furthermore, this document shall become an amendment to the contract and all provisions of the contract shall apply hereto.

Recommended by: Mpl Falyer (Chief ~~Asst~~ Engineer) Date 12/18/87
 Accepted by: Van Kuse (Contractor) Date 1-8-88
 Approved by: J. Yoder - (City Engineer) Date 1-11-88 ^{Sr. Bor 397}
 Approved by: Russell Dehner (Owner) Date 1-13-88
Robert Shaw
W. J. Lott
 Attested by: William W. Gocher Date 1-13-88

Change Order No. 1
Resolution No. 6076-87
Board Order No. 052-87

REQUEST AND JUSTIFICATION FOR CHANGE

1. Necessity for change: Much of the 4" Concrete Sidewalk and removal was saved in the
field. It originally was added to quantities due to possible elevation problems.
These were worked out in field, therefore reflecting a savings to the City

2. Will proposed change alter size of the project? Yes _____ No xx

If yes, explain: _____

3. Affect on operation and maintenance cost of this project: _____

1 Year Maintenance Bond (included into contractor's Performance Bond). After which
regular maintenance by City Forces.

Denny E. Root 12/16/87
Denny Root, Project Engineer

CONTRACTOR: REITH-RILEY

DATE _____

TOTALS:	\$3,116.89	\$258.77	\$39,046.28	\$41,904.40
DIFFERENCE:	\$2,858.12	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,358.12

Read the first time in full and on motion by Talarico, seconded by Staw, and duly adopted, read the second time by title and referred to the Committee on Public Works (and the City Plan Commission for recommendation) and Public Hearing to be held after due legal notice, at the Council Conference Room 128, City-County Building, Fort Wayne, Indiana, on _____, the _____, day of _____, 19____, at _____ o'clock _____ M., E.S.T.

DATED: 1-26-88

Sandra E. Kennedy
SANDRA E. KENNEDY, CITY CLERK

Read the third time in full and on motion by Talarico, seconded by Staw, and duly adopted, placed on its passage. ~~PASSED~~ LOST by the following vote:

	AYES	NAYS	ABSTAINED	ABSENT
TOTAL VOTES	<u>9</u>			
BRADBURY	<u>✓</u>			
BURNS	<u>✓</u>			
GiaQUINTA	<u>✓</u>			
HENRY	<u>✓</u>			
LONG	<u>✓</u>			
REDD	<u>✓</u>			
SCHMIDT	<u>✓</u>			
STIER	<u>✓</u>			
TALARICO	<u>✓</u>			

DATED: 2-9-88

Sandra E. Kennedy
SANDRA E. KENNEDY, CITY CLERK

Passed and adopted by the Common Council of the City of Fort Wayne, Indiana, as (ANNEXATION) (APPROPRIATION) (GENERAL) (SPECIAL) (ZONING MAP) ORDINANCE RESOLUTION NO. S-18-88 on the 9th day of February, 1988,

Sandra E. Kennedy ATTEST
SANDRA E. KENNEDY, CITY CLERK

SEAL
Thomas E. Henry
PRESIDING OFFICER

Presented by me to the Mayor of the City of Fort Wayne, Indiana, on the 10th day of February, 1988, at the hour of 11:30 o'clock A.M., E.S.T.

Sandra E. Kennedy
SANDRA E. KENNEDY, CITY CLERK

Approved and signed by me this 10th day of February, 1988, at the hour of 11:30 o'clock A.M., E.S.T.

Paul Helmke
PAUL HELMKE, MAYOR

TITLE OF ORDINANCE Change Order #1, Sidewalk Improvement Res. 6076-87,
Eastbrook (Brook to Grove)DEPARTMENT REQUESTING ORDINANCE Board of Public Works & Safety

SYNOPSIS OF ORDINANCE Change Order #1, Sidewalk Improvement, Res. 6076-87,
Eastbrook (Brook to Grove) is necessary because much of the 4" concrete
sidewalk and removal was saved in the field. It originally was added to
quantities due to possible elevation problems. These were worked out in
the field, therefore reflecting a savings to the City. Rieth-Riley Con-
struction Company is the Contractor.

DATE JANUARY 11, 1988J-88-01-22

SUBJECT

ACTION REQUEST

Please prepare an ordinance to be introduced at
the next meeting of the Board of Public Works & Safety.EFFECT OF PASSAGE Savings to the City.

EFFECT OF NON-PASSAGE _____

MONEY INVOLVED (DIRECT COSTS, EXPENDITURE, SAVINGS) Decrease of \$2,858.12

ASSIGNED TO COMMITTEE _____

BILL NO. 88-01-22

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS

WE, YOUR COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS TO WHOM WAS
REFERRED AN (ORDINANCE) ~~(RESOLUTION)~~ approving Change
Order No. 1 for Res. 6076-87, Sidewalk Improvement, Eastbrook
(Brook to Grove), with Rieth-Riley Construction Company
in connection with the Board of Public Works and Safety of
the City of Fort Wayne, Indiana

HAVE HAD SAID (ORDINANCE) ~~(RESOLUTION)~~ UNDER CONSIDERATION
AND BEG LEAVE TO REPORT BACK TO THE COMMON COUNCIL THAT SAID
(ORDINANCE) ~~(RESOLUTION)~~

YES

NO

Samuel J. Talarico SAMUEL J. TALARICO
CHAIRMAN
Mark E. GiaQuinta MARK E. GIAQUINTA
VICE CHAIRMAN
David C. Long DAVID C. LONG
James S. Stier JAMES S. STIER
Janet G. Bradbury JANET G. BRADBURY

CONCURRED IN 2-9-88

Sandra E. Kennedy
Sandra E. Kennedy
City Clerk